## Foundations of Software Science (ソフトウェア基礎科学) / Foundations of Computer Software (ソフトウェア基礎) Exercises (<u>no need to submit</u>) October 30, 2009 Eijiro Sumii (instructor)

Fill in the blanks below after the following definitions.

## Definitions

The syntax of  $\lambda$ -terms M, N, ... is given by:

Μ	::=	i	(integers)	
	Ι	X	(variables)	
	Ι	$\lambda x.M$	(functions)	
	Ι	$\mathbf{M}_1 \ \mathbf{M}_2$	(function applications)	
	Ι	$(M_1, M_2)$	(pairs)	
	Ι	fst(M)	(first projections)	
	Ι	snd(M)	(second projections)	
	Ι	Left(M)	(left variants)	
	Ι	Right(M)	(right variants)	
	Ι	case M of Lef	(case branches)	

The syntax of types  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$ , ... is:

τ	::=	int	(integer type)
	Ι	$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	(function types)
	Ι	$\tau_1  imes  au_2$	(pair types)
	Ι	$\tau_1$ + $\tau_2$	(variant types)

A type environment  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ , ... is a (partial) map from variables to types. It is often written like

 $\label{eq:gamma} \begin{array}{ll} \Gamma &=& x_1 \dot{\cdot} \tau_1, \, x_2 \dot{\cdot} \tau_2, \, ..., \, x_n \dot{\cdot} \tau_n \\ \text{when the domain of } \Gamma \text{ is } \{ \, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \, \} \text{ and } \Gamma(x_i) = \tau_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., n. \end{array}$ 

A type judgment  $\Gamma \models M : \tau$  is the smallest relation among type environments,

 $\lambda$ -terms and types that satisfies the following <u>typing rules</u>:

- Rule <u>T-Int</u>: Γ | i : int, for any type environment Γ and integer i (the quantification "for any ..." will be omitted in the other rules)
- Rule <u>T-Var</u>:  $\Gamma \vdash x : \tau$  if  $\Gamma(x) = \tau$
- Rule <u>T-Fun</u>:  $\Gamma \models \lambda x.M : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  if  $\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \models M : \tau_2$
- Rule <u>T-App</u>:  $\Gamma \models M_1 M_2 : \tau_2$  if  $\Gamma \models M_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  and  $\Gamma \models M_2 : \tau_1$
- Rule <u>T-Pair</u>:  $\Gamma \models (M_1, M_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2$  if  $\Gamma \models M_1 : \tau_1$  and  $\Gamma \models M_2 : \tau_2$
- Rule <u>T-Fst</u>:  $\Gamma \models fst(M) : \tau_1$  if  $\Gamma \models M : \tau_1 \times \tau_2$
- Rule <u>T-Snd</u>:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{snd}(M) : \tau_2$  if  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1 \times \tau_2$
- Rule <u>T-Left</u>:  $\Gamma \vdash Left(M) : \tau_1 + \tau_2$  if  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1$
- Rule <u>T-Right</u>:  $\Gamma \models$  Right(M) :  $\tau_1 + \tau_2$  if  $\Gamma \models$  M :  $\tau_2$
- Rule <u>T-Case</u>:  $\Gamma \models$  (case M of Left(x) $\Rightarrow$ N<sub>1</sub> | Right(y) $\Rightarrow$ N<sub>2</sub>): $\tau$  if  $\Gamma \models$  M:  $\tau_1 + \tau_2$  and  $\Gamma$ , x: $\tau_1 \models$  N<sub>1</sub>: $\tau$  and  $\Gamma$ , y: $\tau_2 \models$  N<sub>2</sub>: $\tau$

## Exercise 1

Let us prove  $\Gamma \models \lambda x.\lambda y.x : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$  (for any  $\Gamma$ ,  $\tau_1$ , and  $\tau_2$ ). By rule T-Fun, it suffices to prove  $\Gamma$ ,  $x:\tau_1 \models \lambda y.x : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ . Thus, again by rule T-Fun, it suffices to prove  $\Gamma$ ,  $x:\tau_1$ , \_\_\_\_\_  $\models x :$  \_\_\_\_\_. This follows from rule \_\_\_\_\_. <u>Exercise 2</u>

Let us prove  $\Gamma \models \lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx) : (\tau \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau$ . By rule T-Fun, it suffices to prove

 $\Gamma$ , \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ has a second by rule T-Fun, \_\_\_\_\_\_. Thus, again by rule T-Fun,

it suffices to prove Γ, \_\_\_\_\_. To

prove this by rule T-App, it suffices to show

Γ,	- f :	an	d		
Γ,	- fx :		The	e forme	r is
immediate from rule	To pro	ve the latter l	by rule		_, it
suffices to show $\Gamma$ ,		f :		and	
Γ,	- x :		_, both of	which	are
immediate from rule	<u> </u>				
Exercise 3					
Prove $\Gamma \vdash \lambda f.\lambda g.\lambda x.g(fx) : (τ_1 -$	$\rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow (\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3)$ -	$\rightarrow(\tau_1\rightarrow\tau_3).$			

Exercise 4

Prove that there are <u>no</u>  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\Gamma \models (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) : \tau$ .